TECHNOLOGIST QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTING - USING PEERVUE


Total Exams Listed for April 1, 2014 to April 30, 2014

Total Exams	Identified Concerns	% Identified Concerns	
196,749	67	0.034%	

Commonly repeated errors are identified and reviewed with staff at monthly meeting. Below is a recent example of detailed radiologist identified quality concerns.

Quality assurance for technologists exams are reviewed by the radiologists. Areas for improvement such as annotating films correctly, proper positioning and following protocols are then reviewed by radiology managers and staff.

SAMPLE REPORT AS ILLUSTRATED BELOW:



Modality	Attributable Value	Comment	Reviewer	Reviewed
MR	Poor Positioning	VIBE not copied to perform subtraction	Hardie, Andrew MD	XXXXXX
СТ	Other	No coronal brain MIPS were provided	Roberts, Donna MD	XXXXXX
CR	Protocol Not Followed	Pediatric patient scheduled as an adult	Hill, Jeanne, MD	XXXXXX
US	Inadequate Technique	Please watch the placement of the cursor for Doppler imag- ing.	Keslar, Paula, MD	XXXXXX



Back row (L to R): David Dechant, Robin Brothers, Jody Williamson, Alden Finlayson, Wanda Baker. Front row (L to R): Maggie Carter, Teresa Harrison, Joy Lutz, Kelly Howard